

# RISK CATEGORIES FOR ELEVATED RISK REVIEWS\*



<b>U.S. national security risk</b>	What is the risk that MIT discoveries and inventions created in the engagement will be used against the national security interests of the US? What is the risk that foreign partners will gain access to unrelated MIT knowledge and technology that could be used against US national security interests?
<b>Political, civil, and human rights risk</b>	What is the risk that research outcomes will be used in ways that would infringe on political, civil, or human rights in the foreign country? What is the risk that the engagement will indirectly legitimize actions that are inconsistent with the values of the MIT community?
<b>U.S. economic security risk</b>	What is the risk that the collaboration will strengthen the competitiveness of the foreign entity relative to its U.S. rivals? Will the foreign entity benefit from unpublished know-how that MIT researchers have developed during previous U.S. government-funded research? Will the foreign entity benefit from access to MIT equipment or facilities that were funded by the U.S. government?
<b>Risk to MIT community and core values</b>	Does the engagement pose a risk to MIT's campus culture and values? Is there a risk that it will lead to discrimination or bias against MIT individuals or groups? Does it involve biometric, genetic, or other datasets whose provenance is inconsistent with MIT ethical or informed consent standards? Is there a risk of undue external interference in the direction of research or education?

<b>Export control risk</b>	Will the project be in compliance with all relevant export control laws and regulations?
<b>MIT IP risk</b>	What is the risk that MIT will be unable to retain control of MIT-generated IP and know-how?
<b>Data access/publication risk</b>	Is there a risk that publication will be restricted? Are there restrictions on the use of data that MIT investigators will participate in generating?
<b>Termination risk</b>	Will MIT be able to terminate the engagement if necessary? Are the termination risks acceptable?
<b>Misrepresentation risk</b>	Are the individuals involved who they say they are? Where is the funding coming from and can we trace it to the source?
<b>Reputational risk other than in other risk categories</b>	Are the cooperating entities under investigation by the U.S. government? Are they on USG watch lists? Are individuals/entities involved that are controversial in-country or in the U.S.?
<b>What are the risks of NOT accepting this engagement?</b>	If we do not accept the engagement, what will be the implications for MIT's core academic mission, our competitiveness, the education of our students, the professional goals of our faculty?

\* In addition to standard compliance reviews in regular RAS/OSATT review process (e.g., export controls, COI, etc.), travel safety and security, etc.